

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Error to Corporation Court of Danville.

The mayor of the city of Danville imposed a fine on John N. Ragsdale, who appealed to the corporation court. The appeal was dismissed, and he brings error. Affirmed.

Scott & Buchanan, of Richmond, B. H. Custer, of Danville, and John L. Ingram, of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

E. W. Brown, of Danville, for defendant in error.

NUNNALLY v. STOKES et al.

June 11, 1914.

[82 S. E. 79.]

1. Fraudulent Conveyances (§ 172*)—Suit by Grantor to Set Aside.

—Where both parties are legally capable to contract, though one may have much superior mental capacity, such circumstance does not remove the transaction from the rule that a grantor cannot seek to set aside his fraudulent conveyance unless some advantage has been taken or undue influence exerted by the grantee to obtain the conveyance.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Fraudulent Conveyances, Cent. Dig. §§ 523-529, 542; Dec. Dig. § 172.* 6 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 569.]

2. Fraudulent Conveyances (§ 172*)—Validity as between Parties
—Vacation.—Where complainant was fraudulently induced to convey
her life estate in certain property to defendant to defraud a creditor
of her husband's estate, she was not entitled to a decree vacating the
deed because no liability existed as to her.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Fraudulent Conveyances, Cent. Dig. §§ 523-529, 542; Dec. Dig. § 172.* 6 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 614.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Lunenburg County.

Action by one Nunnally against one Stokes and others to set aside a deed of complainant's life estate in land assigned to her as dower. Judgment for defendants, and complainant appeals. Affirmed.

Buford, Lewis & Peterson, of Lawrenceville, and Henry E. Lee, of Crewe, for appellant.

Geo. E. Allen, of Victoria, for appellees.

^{*}For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.